LANDSCAPE HETEROGENEITY DRIVES SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF PALM COMMUNITY IN A NEOTROPICAL RAINFOREST RESERVE AFFECTED BY DEFAUNATION

La heterogeneidad del paisaje regula la distribución espacial de la comunidad de palmas en un bosque húmedo neotropical afectado por defaunación

Katia V. Miranda-Gallegos¹, Armando Navarrete-Segueda¹, Jorge Cortés-Flores³, M. Lourdes González-Arqueros⁴, Estefanía E. Acosta-Pérez⁴, Guillermo Ibarría-Manríquez²

¹Instituto de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas y Sustentabilidad, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia, Michoacán, México.
²Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores, Unidad Morelia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia, Michoacán, México.
³Jardín Botánico, Instituto de Biología, Sede Tlaxcala, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Tlaxcala, México.
⁴CONACyT-Instituto de Investigaciones en Ciencias de la Tierra, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Morelia, Michoacán, México.
⁵Herbario IBUG, Instituto de Botánica, Departamento de Botánica y Zoología, Universidad de Guadalajara, Zapopan, Jalisco, México.

*Author for correspondence: anavarretesegueda@gmail.com

Abstract
Background: Our study presents the first assessment of the relationships between environmental heterogeneity and the palm community at the landscape scale at the northern boundary of tropical rainforest distribution in America.

Question: How does landscape-level heterogeneity (edaphic, topographic as well as the density, diversity, and tree biomass) shapes the palm community in a protected reserve affected by defaunation?

Study site and date: The study was carried out in Los Tuxtlas Tropical Biology Station, Veracruz, Mexico (2020).

Methods: We delineated landscape units (LUs) based on soil and geomorphology to characterize the biophysical mosaic. We built rank-abundance curves to compare the palm richness and their abundance among LUs. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) were applied to detect shifts in the palm community across LUs.

Results: Integrating abiotic and biotic components by delimiting LUs allowed us to evaluate the multifactorial effect of environmental heterogeneity on the palm community. The density and composition of the palms changed significantly among the LUs, influenced by soil coarse fragments, elevation, slope inclination, and annual precipitation. Tree richness and density had a significant effect on the palm community only when analyzed in combination with the soil-topography variables.

Conclusions: The results reveal that there is high edaphic and topographic heterogeneity that influences palm species distribution at the landscape scale. The environmental heterogeneity approach allows capturing novel abiotic variation to explain the distribution patterns of palm species and their coexistence in highly diverse tropical communities.
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Resumen
Antecedentes: Se evaluó la influencia de la heterogeneidad ambiental en la comunidad de palmas a escala del paisaje en el límite norte de la distribución de la selva tropical húmeda en América.

Preguntas: ¿Cómo la heterogeneidad a nivel de paisaje (edáfica, topográfica, así como la densidad, diversidad y biomasa arbórea) influye en la distribución de las especies de palma en una reserva forestal afectada por la defaunación?

Sítio y años de estudio: El estudio se realizó en la Estación de Biológica Tropical Los Tuxtlas, Veracruz, México, en 2020.

Métodos: Se delinearon unidades de paisaje (UP) basadas en suelo y geomorfología, se obtuvieron datos de la riqueza y abundancia de los árboles para caracterizar la heterogeneidad ambiental. Se analizó cómo influye la heterogeneidad ambiental en la composición, riqueza y abundancia de las especies de palma.

Resultados: La abundancia y composición de las especies de palmas difirió entre las UP, en ambos casos fueron influenciadas por la presencia de fragmentos gruesos del suelo, altitud, inclinación de la pendiente y precipitación anual. La riqueza y densidad de árboles afectaron significativamente a la comunidad de palmas, pero sólo cuando se analizaron en combinación con variables edáficas y topográficas.

Conclusiones: Los resultados revelan que existe una marcada heterogeneidad edáfica y topográfica que influye en la distribución de las especies de palmas a escala de paisaje, por lo que el enfoque de la heterogeneidad ambiental permite asociar la variación abiótica con los patrones de distribución de las especies y su coexistencia en comunidades tropicales altamente diversas.

Palabras clave: Diversidad de especies, Profundidad efectiva del suelo, Unidades de paisaje, Reserva de la Biosfera de Los Tuxtlas.
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Palm species are among the most diverse and abundant plant groups in tropical rainforest (TRF) and their diversity depends on multiple environmental factors, which operate at different temporal and spatial scales (Eiserhardt et al. 2011). Edaphic conditions (particularly soil water availability, effective soil depth, and texture) as well as topographic factors (e.g., relief position, elevation, and slope), have been shown to significantly influence the structure and composition of palm communities (Clark 1995, Svenning 2001a, b, Emilio et al. 2014, Muscarella et al. 2019). In turn, the relationship with biotic components is not clear since it has been found contrasting results about the effect of variables as forest canopy openness and tree density on palm species richness (Cintra et al. 2005, Rodrigues et al. 2014). In the past decades, the studies of Svenning (2001b) and Rodrigues et al. (2014) proved that the spatial covariation of biophysical components (soil, topography, and tree structure) influences the palm community. This knowledge represents the basis for understanding the influences of forest and landscape structure components on the palm species distribution and their coexistence. However, the effect of these biophysical drivers is still poorly understood in most Neotropical forests.

At the landscape scale, the spatial patterns of the palm community have been explored mostly by isolating abiotic and biotic components (Eiserhardt et al. 2011). However, soil, topography, microclimate, and tree structure are inextricably related, and their variation shapes the habitat mosaics that influence the distribution and density of plant species (Tylianakis et al. 2008, Baldeck et al. 2013, Li et al. 2018, Rodrigues et al. 2019, Schmitt et al. 2021). Consequently, the understanding of spatial patterns of palm species as well as identifying their predictors, requires the multivariate and spatially explicit assessment.

Neotropics harbor great plant diversity on a relatively small surface area (Raven et al. 2020). However, agricultural expansion in Latin America’s tropical forests reduced forest cover at a rate of 40,000 km$^2$ per year in the decade 2000-2010 alone (FAO & UNEP 2020). This loss of forest cover is one of the main drivers of biodiversity decline in tropical ecosystems (Barlow et al. 2018, Winkler et al. 2021). In response, throughout the Neotropics, geographical areas oriented to biodiversity conservation, habitat protection, and maintenance of ecosystem processes and services have been delineated (Cazalis et al. 2020). These protected areas, commonly considered intact, have been suffering an intense loss of fauna (Dirzo et al. 2014, Benítez-López et al. 2019).

Los Tuxtlas Tropical Biology Station (LTBS) reserve, which is located at the Sierra de Los Tuxtlas (Veracruz state, Mexico), represent the northern boundary of the TRF distribution in America and supports an old-growth TRF. Nevertheless, among the 40 eco-regions recognized in Mexico, the Sierra de Los Tuxtlas had the greatest forest cover decline during the period 2001-2014, and the remaining forest cover represents less than 10% of its original cover (Bonilla-Moheno & Aide 2020, Von Thaden et al. 2020). Although there are still remnants, such as the LTBS, where high plant diversity continues to exist (Ibarra-Manríquez & Sinaca-Colin 1995), defaunation caused by human beings has eliminated or diminished populations of several medium and large mammal species (Dirzo & Miranda 1991, Martínez-Ramos et al. 2016). Such forest fauna decline has the potential to produce a variety of effects on plant populations, gradually modifying the plant communities’ composition and structure (Gardner et al. 2019, Villar et al. 2020, Valverde et al. 2021).

Regarding the effect of defaunation on plant ecology of LTBS, there is an unresolved debate about its influence on the trajectory of regeneration and plant diversity. Martínez-Ramos et al. (2016) proposed that loss of fauna favored demographic explosion of a hyper-dominant palm (Astrocaryum mexicanum Liebm. ex Mart.), which, in turn, reduced tree diversity and modified the forest’s composition. However, this conclusion has been challenged by Arroyo-Rodríguez & Melo (2016), firstly because it was based on a few small plots that are close together, and secondly, because it did not consider the role of environmental factors in shaping mesoscale plant spatial patterns. One approach to integrate these last factors is through the stratification of the landscape into discrete units based on biophysical components.

Landscape stratification based on soil and geomorphology has proven useful in capturing landscape heterogeneity and explaining variation in plant communities throughout Neotropical landscapes (e.g., Clark et al. 1995, Denslow et al. 2019, Navarrete-Segueda et al. 2017). The effectiveness of this approach is based on the fundamental role of topography as a determinant of habitat differentiation and ecological processes at the landscape scale (Zonneveld et al. 2019).
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1989, Zinck et al. 2016). As a result, discrete landscape units (LUs) based on landforms can be detected, in which environmental conditions are relatively homogeneous within each type of landscape unit, while adjacent LUs differ in habitat characteristics (Dehn et al. 2001, MacMillan & Shary 2009). Consequently, we explored factors (edaphic, topographic as well as the tree density, diversity, and biomass) driving variation in the composition and distribution of the palm species in the LTBS, using the LUs delineated by Navarrete-Segueda et al. (2021).

Studies on the growth pattern of palms in LTBS have shown that continuous production of leaves from a single terminal stem allows age to be determined based on palm height. In A. mexicanum and Chamaedorea spp., stems >1 m indicate that individuals are mature of > 30 years (Piñero et al. 1984, Martínez-Ramos et al. 1988, Oyama et al. 1992, Martínez-Ramos et al. 2016). Therefore, by focusing on palms of this height, we can record the diversity and the density of individuals that were present in the decades before the defaunation occurred in the reserve.

The objectives of the study were to: i) document the environmental heterogeneity of major LUs of the LTBS, ii) identify major gradients of topography and soil that influence the composition and density of palm species, iii) evaluate the variation of both palm community attributes among types of LUs, and iv) assess the effects of abiotic (topography and soil) and biotic (density, biomass, and tree diversity) factors on the spatial variation of palm species composition and density from a multivariate perspective. We expected that LUs captured the variation in relief, soil parameters, and forest structure of the study area. Thus, we also expected significant differences among LUs in the palm community composition and density. From an abiotic perspective, the slope, due to its effect on habitat differentiation and its synergistic relationship with fertility and soil water storage (Zinck et al. 2016), was expected to regulate the spatial variation of density and diversity of palms. With respect to biotic variables (individuals’ density as well as the biomass and diversity of trees), we expected a negative effect on palm species (presence and density) across LUs (Rodrigues et al. 2014).

Material and methods

Study area. We carried out the study at LTBS (18° 35’ N, 95° 05’ W), which is located in a volcanic complex of the Upper Tertiary and Middle Quaternary (< 7 Ma), that is composed of basaltic andesite and basalt (Verma et al. 1993) and bordered by lava flows from the Holocene (Nelson & González-Caver 1992). The landscape in LTBS is topographically heterogeneous, with slopes ranging from 2 to 45°, covering an area of 640 ha, where the elevation ranges between 150 and 700 m asl (Ibarra-Manríquez et al. 1997). The annual average temperature is 24.5 °C and the mean annual precipitation (MAP) is 3,928 mm, with a dry season from March to May, where it rains less than 10 % of the total annual precipitation (SMN 2010). The most abundant tree species are Damburneya ambigens (S.F. Blake) Trofimov, Guarea glabra Vahl, Omphalea oleifera Hemsl., and Pseudolmedia glorabata (Liebm.) C.C. Berg (Ibarra-Manríquez et al. 1997). Additionally, 13 species of palms have been recorded; the most abundant include A. mexicanum, Chamaedorea alternans H. Wendl., C. pinnatifrons (Jacq.) Oerst., and C. tepejilote Liebm. Although there are few palm species, their high density makes them an important element of this TRF (Ibarra-Manríquez et al. 1997, Martínez-Ramos et al. 2016). Despite being surrounded by cattle pasture, the protected status of the LTBS has protected the forest from fires, logging, and plant extractions for more than 50 years, such that it is representative of conserved TRF in the region (Figure 1).

Environmental heterogeneity characterization. We stratified the study area based on the five LUs delimited by Navarrete-Segueda et al. (2021). These discrete units are based on the systematic integration of environmental components such as geology, relief, and soil (Zinck et al. 2016). This approach has been used to stratify the environmental mosaic and the potential habitats in the study area (Navarrete-Segueda et al. 2021) as well as in other Neotropical rainforests (Clark et al. 1995, Brown et al. 2013, Denslow et al. 2019). Four of the five LUs were associated with cinder cones (late Tertiary and early Quaternary), that differ in inclination, elevation, microclimate, and their soils, which were formed from volcanic ash. The fifth landscape unit corresponds to a landscape associated with Holocene volcanic events and is characterized by shallow stony soils (Table 1). The selected LUs are representative nearly of
75% of the landforms of the LTBS (640 ha). In each of the LUs, three plots of $20 \times 50$ m (0.1 ha) were established, covering a total sample area of 1.5 ha. Plots on steep slopes were oriented transverse to the slope to minimize the effect of topographic variation. Estimated inter-site distances ranged between 400 and 4,550 m.

In each plot, we described soil profiles, based on Schoeneberger et al. (2012), within the rooting depth (Siebe et al. 1996). The available water holding capacity (AWHC) of each horizon was estimated and interpreted according to Eckelmann et al. (2005) and Siebe et al. (1996). This variable integrates the soil texture, organic matter content, bulk density, percentage of coarse fragments, and the thickness of each horizon estimated in the field. In addition, texture, rooting depth, and percentage of coarse fragments were selected as indicators of nutrient storage and soil fertility (Bünemann et al. 2018). We also integrated elevation and slope as relief variables since they have been shown to influence the spatial variation in vegetation structure and diversity (Baldeck et al. 2013). To obtain the abiotic components related to relief, we parameterized the topographic data of slope and elevation, based on a digital elevation model (DEM) constructed using contour lines to 10 m resolution of INEGI (2010), processed in ArcMap 10.1 (ESRI®). We extracted the average value and standard deviation of these variables for each plot.

Figure 1. (A) Study area and location of plots on the land units (High Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined, HSSI; Low Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined, LSSI; Lava Flows, LF; Piedmont, PD; Steeply Dissected Slopes, SDS). (B) Satellite image of the study area on Google Earth. Data: Google © 2021, Maxar Technologies/TerraMetrics.

We generated climatic data because in the Sierra de Los Tuxtlas there is a low density of meteorological stations (Gutiérrez-García & Ricker 2011). To accurately interpolate and strengthen the climate surface, we included weather data from the surrounding area. We employed eight meteorological stations, with elevations ranging from 4 to 1,200 m. Yearly average precipitation (mm yr$^{-1}$) and temperature ($^\circ$C) were generated with Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation through ArcMap (ESRI®). IDW interpolation is a mathematical (deterministic) function that assumes that closer values are more related than farther values (Chen & Liu 2012).
In each 0.1 ha plot (20 × 50 m), we recorded tree individuals with a diameter at breast height (dbh) ≥ 10 cm and identified them to the species level. Using dbh data, we calculated the biomass per tree species (t dry mass 0.1 ha⁻¹) based on the allometric equation [1] applied to tropical regions with a MAP between 2,000 and 4,000 mm year⁻¹ (Brown 1997, Rügnitz et al. 2008):

\[
AGB_t \text{ (Kg dry mass)} = \exp \left[ -2289 + 2649 \times \ln(dbh) - 0.021 \times (\ln(dbh))^2 \right]
\]

To estimate AGB per ha we used the equation [2]:

\[
AGB \text{ (Mg dry mass 0.1 ha⁻¹)} = \sum at/1000
\]

Where \(\sum at\) is the sum of the dry mass of all trees in the plot (AGB\(_t\)) of 0.1 ha and 1000 is the factor to convert kg into Mg.

The use of specific allometric equations based on forest type has proven to be an efficient method that captures 95 % of biomass variation (Brown 2002, Berenguer et al. 2015). In each plot of 20 × 50 m (0.1 ha). We recorded and identified all the palms with a height greater than 1 m, except the adult individuals of Reinhardtia gracilis (H. Wendl.) Drude ex Dammer, which are smaller than 1 m. However, it has been shown that this species responds to soil conditions (Mendoza & Franco 1998) and is an important element of the palm community in the study area (Ibarra-Manriquez & Sinaca-Colin 1995). Therefore, individuals of R. gracilis were sampled only when flowers or fruits were observed.

Table 1. Changes in environmental and structural variables of tree community among LUs [mean and standard error (S.E.)]. Available water holding capacity (AWHC). High altitude slopes strongly inclined (HSSI), Low altitude slopes strongly inclined (LSSI), Lava flows (LF), Piedmont (PD), Steeply dissected slopes (SDS). Means with the same superscript are not statistically different among geopedologic land units.
Data analysis. We carried out generalized linear models (GLM) and multiple comparisons of means with post hoc Tukey tests to assess differences in abiotic and biotic components among LUs using ‘multcomp’ package in R (R Core Team 2022). We apply error distribution of Poisson to count data and Gaussian to continuous data (after normality test), and log and identity link functions, respectively (Crawley 2007). We applied a principal component analysis (PCA) to describe major gradients in the soil and topography to reduce the number and collinearity of variables (Laurance et al. 1999). Therefore, we expected that PCA would allow us to detect and classify meaningful variables related to the palm community parameters. The analysis was performed with the “scale” argument to standardize the data, results were centered by mean and, variables were presented by their correlations. The PCA was performed using the dudi.pca function of package `ade4` (Dray & Dufour 2007).

Data of individuals and richness were used to obtain mean (± S.E.) values of density (number of palms in 0.1 ha) and effective number of species (‘D and ‘D) for each landscape unit, following Jost (2006). We carried out GLM and multiple comparisons of means to assess differences in ‘D, ‘D, and density of palms (0.1 ha) among LUs.

We constructed rank-abundance curves to assess changes in the dominant species of palms among the LUs, lumping the data of the three plots per unit. The curves were constructed following Magurran (2004). Linear models were fit for rank-abundance curves and differences between units were tested using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) considering as an explanatory variable the rank (rank; as numeric) and landscape unit (Landscape unit; as categorical). To compare the slope of rank-abundance curves according to LUs, abundance of species was transformed to Log10. Differences among slopes were evaluated between LUs (Izsák 2006).

The effects of environmental heterogeneity on palm community were analyzed by a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (Anderson & Braak 2003). This analysis was performed with the adonis2 function of `vegan` package of R. The environmental data were normalized with the scale function. PERMANOVA is especially useful for partitioning multivariate data in response to complex designs (e.g., LUs) (Anderson 2017). Values of $P$ were obtained using 9,999 permutations. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) techniques were used to visualize the PERMANOVA results, which allowed us to examine the multivariate relationship of palm species (presence and abundance) with biophysical factors among LUs. The NMDS analysis were executed using the function metaMDS (`vegan` package) in R (Oksanen et al. 2019). We implemented NMDS with Bray-Curtis dissimilarities to density and with the Jaccard method to the presence-absence data. Since NMDS uses rank order information, this analysis represents a highly flexible method to explore the proximities and resemblances of the species composition and the structure of palm community in relation whit environmental heterogeneity (McCune & Grace 2002).

Results

Environmental heterogeneity among the LUs. The GLM results showed that LUs are different in several of the analyzed environmental factors (Table 1). The slope presented a high variation. The units with low inclination were Piedmont (PD) and Lava Flows (LF) (< 11° each). These units differed significantly from Low Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined (LSSI) and Steeply Dissected Slopes (SDS) (> 19° slope) ($F_{4,10} = 56.86, P < 0.000$). The PD unit was found at lower elevations than LF (Table 1; Figure 1). High Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined (HSSI) and SDS have a higher elevation, corresponding to the highest parts of the volcanoes. The LF unit, whose soils were formed by basaltic rock flows, had high surface stoniness (> 85%), leading to soils with shallow rooting depth and low water storage (Table 1). In the other units, because the soils were formed from volcanic ash, the rooting depth and the soil water storage were greater, while the presence of coarse fragments was low. There were no significant differences in relief aspect and the density, biomass, and tree diversity among the LUs ($F_{4,10} = 36844, P = 0.301; F_{4,10} = 8.115, P = 0.0875; F_{4,10} = 3330134039, P = 0.78; F_{4,10} = 99.68, P = 0.133$, respectively) (Table 1).

The first axis of the PCA (42% of the variance) showed a gradient associated with the AWHC for plants and the coarse fragments in the soil (Table 2; Figure S1, Supplementary material). Coarse fragments in the soil are related to the LF unit, while, at the opposite extreme are the LUs recognized in soils formed from volcanic ash, with less coarse frag-
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ments content and larger stores of water in the soil, mainly SDS (Table 1; Table 2). On the second axis (26 % variance), the LUs are differentiated by a gradient determined by the elevation and the annual precipitation (Table 2). At one end of this axis are the PD unit and one plot corresponding to LSSSI, located at low elevation and with high precipitation, separated from HSSI that had the opposite trend in these two environmental variables (Figure S1, Supplementary material).

Palm composition and density in the context of environmental heterogeneity. A total of 10 palm species and 2,761 individuals were recorded. No significant difference was found in species richness (D) (F<sub>4, 10</sub> = 0.81, P = 0.92) and the number of common species (D) (F<sub>4, 10</sub> = 7.63, P = 0.153) of palms among the LUs (Table S1, Supplementary material). We found that palm density differed among LUs, except between LSSI and SDS (F<sub>4, 10</sub> = 61.98, P < 0.000). Palm density was highest in the LF unit and lowest in HSSI (Table S1, Supplementary material), which was clearly due to a low density of A. mexicanum and C. alternans in the latter unit (Figure 2; Table S2, Supplementary material).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Axis 1</th>
<th>Axis 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>-0.37ns</td>
<td>-0.87**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect (°)</td>
<td>-0.34ns</td>
<td>-0.14ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available water holding capacity (L m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>-0.94***</td>
<td>0.14ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>-0.60**</td>
<td>-0.35ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse fragments (%)</td>
<td>0.92***</td>
<td>0.02ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation (m a.s.l)</td>
<td>-0.06ns</td>
<td>0.94***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooting depth (cm)</td>
<td>-0.76*</td>
<td>0.54ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (°)</td>
<td>-0.63*</td>
<td>0.05ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative percentage of explained variance (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Loadings of the soil properties and topography that determine the first two axes of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Significance levels are based on a Pearson’s correlation between soil properties and topography and PCA axes: * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001.

Rank-abundance curves showed that the most abundant species in all the LUs was A. mexicanum (Figure 2). The second most abundant species differed among LUs; C. alternans in LF and PD, C. ernesti-augusti H. Wendl SDS and LSSI, R. gracilis in HSSI. We found significant differences among rank-abundance curves of LUs with contrasting soil properties (Table S3, Supplementary material). The comparison showed that HSSI curve differs from LF, and PD curves (F<sub>1</sub> = 0.455, P < 0.000 and F<sub>1</sub> = 0.3143, P = 0.013, respectively). Simultaneously, we found difference between SDS and LSSI curves (F<sub>1</sub> = 0.207, P = 0.036). We did not find significative differences among other LUs curves (Table S3, Supplementary material).

There were distinct distribution patterns in palm assemblages among the LUs. Results from the PERMANOVA showed significant effects of slope of relief (P = 0.009), elevation (P = 0.002), MAP (P = 0.04), soil coarse fragments (P = 0.002), and tree D<sup>1</sup> (P = 0.008; Table 3) on palm species presence. These results clustered the plots with low inclination (PD) and separated them from the LUs with abrupt relief and higher elevation, as visualized by NMDS (stress value 0.15) in Figure 3A. Species that responded to this gradient are Bactris mexicana Mart., which was registered mainly in low-inclination plots, while C. ernesti-augusti and C. elatior Mart. were found mostly in plots with abrupt relief (Table S2, Supplementary material). We found that 33 % of the variation in palm species presence was explained by topography since relief elevation and slope explain 21 and 12 %, respectively (Table 3). Coarse fragments in soil and tree diversity (D) accounted for 25 and 13 % of the variation, respectively.

Results from the PERMANOVA showed significant effects of relief slope (P = 0.034), elevation (P = 0.03), soil coarse fragments (P = 0.003), and tree D<sup>th</sup> (P = 0.028; Table 2) on palm species abundance. The NMDS in Figure 3B (stress value < 0.2) shows that, except for LSSI, the plots were grouped consistently with the LUs. Coarse fragments in the soil explained 19 % of the palm density variation (Table 3). C. tepejilote had the highest density in plots with
high coarse fragments content (LF), while *R. gracilis* was most abundant in plots with deeper soils (and HSSI) (Table S2, Supplementary material). Similar to the palm species presence patterns, the density of *B. mexicana, C. ernesti-augusti*, and *C. elatior* responded to elevation and slope, which accounted for 24 % of variation in palm species density among the plots. Tree richness ($D^0$) accounted for 13 % of density variation, with mainly, *R. gracilis* and *C. alternans* at the opposite extremes concerning tree richness and soil rooting depth interaction (Figure 3B).

**Discussion**

*Environmental heterogeneity and the LUs.* The delimited LUs based on geomorphology and soil captured the spatial variation of the abiotic component, detecting two main gradients: i) the coarse fragments and the rooting depth in the soil and ii) elevation and precipitation. The first gradient separates deep soils derived from volcanic ash (SDS, PD, LSSI, and HSSI) from shallow soils formed from basalt (LF) (Figure S1, Supplementary material). This gradient is an indicator of the water store and nutrients in the soil (Tetegan et al. 2015, Drobnik et al. 2018). Variation in elevation and soil properties related to topographic position have been reported as predictors of palm density and diversity in other tropical forests (Clark et al. 1995, Cámara-Leret et al. 2017). We found that elevation and its relationship with precipitation influenced the spatial distribution of the palm species. In this regard, Perrigo et al. (2020) and Svenning (2001a) indicate that in landscapes with significant elevation variation (e.g., > 100 m difference), as occurs in our study area, precipitation may vary even over small distances. The variation in this climatic variable was particularly relevant in LF, with lower soil water available for plants, since palm individuals could experience water stress during three months in which evapotranspiration exceeds rainfall. This finding may indicate that precipitation variations due to climate change can have the potential to affect the distribution of palm species at the landscape scale, especially those with lower soil AWHC.

![Species-rank curves based on species abundance for palm community present in the land units of Los Tuxtlas Tropical Biology Station reserve, SE Mexico. In the X-axis, species are ranked in decreasing order of their abundance, while in the Y-axis, the number of palms is indicated. HSSI (High Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined), LSSI (Low Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined), LF (Lava Flows), PD (Piedmont), SDS (Steeply Dissected Slopes).](image)

**Figure 2.** Species-rank curves based on species abundance for palm community present in the land units of Los Tuxtlas Tropical Biology Station reserve, SE Mexico. In the X-axis, species are ranked in decreasing order of their abundance, while in the Y-axis, the number of palms is indicated. HSSI (High Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined), LSSI (Low Altitude Slopes Strongly Inclined), LF (Lava Flows), PD (Piedmont), SDS (Steeply Dissected Slopes).

*Palm composition and density in the context of environmental heterogeneity.* The ordination analysis showed that LUs are a good stratification approach to identify the effect of environmental heterogeneity on palm community composition in Los Tuxtlas reserve. Site distributions in the ordination reflected palm species distribution between units. This result is in line with other studies that proved the efficiency of sampling based on soil and topography to capture and explain spatial variation of tree, understory plants, and palm communities that are structured by species responses to environmental factors in volcanic Neotropical landscapes (Clark et al. 1995, Denslow et al. 2019, Navarrete Segueda et al. 2021).
The altitude and the slope had a strong effect on the presence of *B. mexicana* and *C. ernesti-augusti* and was evident from the decrease in the density of *A. mexicanum* and *C. alternans* in the higher elevation units (SDS and HSSI; Figure 3B). This result coincides with those of Cepeda-Cornejo & Dirzo (2010), for *C. alternans* and *C. elatior* that showed specific affinity with the LUs having lower precipitation and well-drained soils, either due to a low percentage of clay or high stoniness (LSSI and LF; Figure 3A). According to studies of Clark et al. (1995), Svenning (2001a), and Muscarella et al. (2019), this result may be because soil water conditions are regulator of the composition of TRF.

![Figure 3](image_url)
palm species. Variables that differentiated PD from LF-elevation and coarse fragments in soil (Figure S1, Supplementary material) influence the distribution of *C. ernesti-augusti* and *C. tepejilote*, which are practically absent in PD and relatively abundant in LF. Bacon & Bailey (2006) and Miceli-Méndez et al. (2013) also reported higher density of *C. tepejilote* and *C. ernesti-augusti* in sites with shallow and stony soils, respectively. Renninger et al. (2013) suggest that palms are more efficient in resource allocation and distribution of aerial and root biomass in the face of constraints such as shallow soils with low AWHC. This strategy has been shown by *C. tepejilote* (Oyama & Dirzo 1988), which may have an advantage over tree species, which have deeper roots. The allocation of biomass to the stem and roots has been reported as an important indicator of competitive interactions in the face of restrictive factors (Qi et al. 2019).

Table 3. Results of Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) for testing the effect of environmental variables on presence and density (number of individuals) recorded in 15 vegetation sampling plots (0.1 ha each one) located in Los Tuxtlas Tropical Biology Station reserve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sums of squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRESENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual precipitation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse fragments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree density</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree 'D'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.008**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DENSITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse fragments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooting depth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree 'D'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree density</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four species were recorded in all the LUs (*A. mexicanum*, *C. alternans*, *C. pinnatifrons* and *R. gracilis*) (Figure 2). The wide mesoscale distribution of *C. pinnatifrons* has been reported by Svenning (2001b), who found that the density of this species is associated with deep, well-drained soils. This result contrasts with our findings, since soil depth was not a factor that influenced the density of this species. In the case of *A. mexicanum*, its presence of has been widely reported in this TRF (Popma et al. 1988, Ibarra-Manriquez et al. 1997, Hernández-Ruedas et al. 2018) and is usually related to its high seed production capacity in the face of disturbances (Martínez-Ramos et al. 2016). However, the hyperdominance of *A. mexicanum* decreased significantly in the highest elevation and rooting depth units (SDS and HSSI) (Figure 2), contrasting with the proposal of Martínez-Ramos et al. (2016). This divergence in
results is likely attributable to the fact that the plots of Martínez-Ramos et al. (2016) were all located on the PD unit, which excluded the effect of the elevation on this species. Our results support the argument of Arroyo-Rodríguez & Melo (2016) that it is important evaluate palm populations throughout the landscape in the Sierra de Los Tuxtlas, since the soil and topographic position have a clear relationship with A. mexicanum distribution throughout the analyzed forest.

The low density of A. mexicanum in SDS could also be a consequence of the increase in light in the lower strata of the forest. According to Clark et al. (1996) and Alves et al. (2010), the lateral incidence of light at sites with abrupt relief can be equivalent to light levels in clearings or forest edges. This effect limits the density of palm species with low tolerance to prolonged light exposure, which includes A. mexicanum (Martínez-Ramos et al. 2016, Hernández-Ruedas et al. 2018). Reduced exposure to light would also explain the increase of individuals of this species in the LUs with less sloping relief (LF and PD).

As expected, slight variations in the tree community, together with the abiotic factors, influenced the palm community (Figure 3). This effect is significant only when soil-topography variables are included in the analysis (Table 3). Interspecific competition with the tree community could explain this relationship. The interaction between soil and tree community had a positive effect on palm density, especially in landscape unit whit high stoniness, where palm species were favored due to their more efficient use of sources, as soil water, compared to tree species. This result lends support to the interpretations of Martínez-Ramos et al. (2016) by indicating that a higher density of C. alternans, C. tepejilote, and A. mexicanum (Figure 3B) alters the composition and structure of tree community. However, our results indicate that the composition of the palm community is driven by environmental heterogeneity.

The increase in the populations of C. elatior and C. ernesti-augusti in SDS could be related to the decrease in the density of A. mexicanum and C. pinnatifrons. Particularly, it has been reported that A. mexicanum in the LTBS is a highly competitive species that affects density of another palm and tree species (Noriega-Piña et al. 2021). Cepeda-Cornejo & Dirzo (2010) suggested that there is a microhabitat separation, with C. pinnatifrons distributed at low elevation sites, while C. ernesti-augusti typically occurs at slightly higher elevations. This relationship suggests that the spatial variation of the most abundant palm species, in the context of environmental heterogeneity, affects the density of other palms. This result is highly relevant because palm community is an important structural component in the understory layer (plants up to 10 m) (Ibarra-Manríquez 1988). However, further studies are required to evaluate the density-dependent effects on palm populations, considering the density of trees-relief-light interaction.

Our results show that there is high edaphic and topographic variation that influences the distribution of species at the landscape scale. However, geopedological heterogeneity has not been considered to explain the distribution patterns and species diversity in the TRF studied. To integrate the complex variation of both abiotic and biotic ecosystem components, we proved that landscape stratification into spatially explicit LUs is highly effective. We found that palm species density differs among LUs, and our study supports the idea that abiotic and biotic components simultaneously regulate the palm composition and abundance. However, the response to each factor differs among species. Therefore, further studies are needed to fill the information gap on these species’ functional attributes and ecological niches in the context of environmental heterogeneity. While the composition of adult palms was related mainly to abiotic factors at the landscape level, there are other factors such as biotic interactions (e.g., herbivory, pollination, seed dispersal, seed predation) that influence palm composition, and operate at different scales and stages of the life cycle of palms. The systematic integration of landscape elements allows us to address the multifactorial effect of the distribution and density of palm species. Therefore, under this approach, the analysis of other plant groups together (e.g., trees or lianas) can provide useful information to understand species coexistence in plant communities and critical factors for their conservation, as well as to understand the importance of environmental filters in the face of environmental changes and their effect on the distribution of species.

Supplementary material

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here: https://doi.org/10.17129/botsci.3204
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